



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE ARMY
MANPOWER AND RESERVE AFFAIRS
111 ARMY PENTAGON
WASHINGTON, DC 20310-0111

SAMR (600A)

MEMORANDUM FOR Chairman, Defense Advisory Committee on Women in the Services (DACOWITS), ATTN: DACOWITS Members, Washington, DC 20310-0111

SUBJECT: Army Written Responses to DACOWITS's Requests for Information June 2024 RFI 2

1. The Committee requests a written response from the Army the following:

a. Provide the number of requests for geographic stability and co-location that were made by dual active-duty military couples for FY21, FY22, and FY23. In addition, for each fiscal year, provide how many couples were married and how many couples were divorced or separated with dependent children.

Response: In FY21, the Army had 492 dual active-duty military couples request geographic stability, 640 in FY22, and 628 in FY23. Retention data does not identify servicemembers who are divorced or separated with dependent children. The Army retention program is unable to provide an accurate response to part two of this question.

b. Provide the number of dual active-duty military couples whose geographic stability requests were accommodated for FY21, FY22, and FY23.

Response: In FY21, the Army had 492 dual active-duty military couples request for geographic stability be accommodated, 640 in FY22, and 628 in FY23. All Soldiers who requested to reenlist and were enrolled in the Married Army Couples Program (MACP) were stabilized or relocated to an assignment that supported co-location with their spouse. No Soldier is stabilized or re-assigned to a location that will result in a break in joint domicile unless they submit a voluntary request to waive MACP consideration.

c. Provide the number of dual active-duty military couples whose geographic stability requests were not accommodated for FY21, FY22, and FY23. In addition, for requests that were not able to be accommodated, please provide the top 5 most common reasons requests were not accommodated.

Response: Zero requests for dual active-military couples were denied through reenlistment actions for FY21-23. All requests for stabilization or co-location by Soldiers enrolled in the MACP were accommodated unless the Soldier voluntarily waive joint domicile considerations.